

Rusper Neighbourhood Plan Survey Summary of Responses

This document provides a summary of the responses to the Rusper Neighbourhood Plan Survey. The survey was sent to all houses in the plan area in June 2017 and was also available online for people to complete. All of the responses, postal and online were collated into a single set of responses and this document represents a summary of all of these. Personal information was excluded for data protection reasons.

There were 9 main sections to the survey and a summary of each section is included here.

Summary of Demographic Information

Total responses 147

Gender	Female	45.89%
	Male	54.11%
Age	16 - 21	0.68%
	22 - 45	8.90%
	46 – 65	49.32%
	66 - 75	23.97%
	Over 75	17.12%
Involvement in the area	Live in the area	85.54%
	Work or own a business in the area	14.46%
Time in the area	Less than a year	4.17%
	1 - 5 years	12.50%
	6 - 10 years	11.11%
	11 - 20 years	18.75%
	More than 20 years	52.78%
	Although I am active in the area I do not live here	0.69%
Sense of community	Very strong	19.44%
	Quite strong	53.47%
	Average	21.53%
	Not very strong	3.47%
	Weak	2.08%

Summary of Transport Responses

Most used	Car	91.78%
	Train	1.37%
	Walking	4.11%
	Bus	0.68%
	Mobility Vehicle/ Wheelchair	1.37%
	Bicycle	0.68%
Road Safety	A very serious problem	34.51%
	A serious problem	49.30%
	Not a very serious problem	9.86%
	Not a problem at all	4.93%
	No opinion	1.41%
Congestion	A very serious problem	32.62%
	A serious problem	40.43%
	Not a very serious problem	14.89%
	Not a problem at all	10.64%
	No opinion	1.42%
Parking	A very serious problem	11.11%
	A serious problem	39.58%
	Not a very serious problem	26.39%
	Not a problem at all	16.67%
	No opinion	6.25%
Public Transport	Good	1.38%
	Average	14.48%
	Poor	68.97%
	No Opinion	15.17%
Train Usage	Daily	3.47%
	Weekly	6.94%
	Monthly	26.39%
	Rarely	63.19%
Area Transport	Bicycle	26.35%
	Bus	4.05%
	Car	89.19%
	Mobility Vehicle/Wheelchair	3.38%
	Motorcycle	6.08%
	Walking	60.14%

Summary of Footpaths and Bridleways Responses

Usage	Regular	63.12%
	Occasionally	22.70%
	Rarely	14.18%
Activities	Rambling/Walking	46.44%
	Horse riding	6.69%
	Jogging	8.37%
	Cycling	8.37%
	Exercising animals	27.20%
	Foraging	2.93%
Safe Paths	Strongly agree	51.77%
	Mostly agree	39.72%
	No opinion	7.80%
	Mostly disagree	0.00%
	Strongly disagree	0.71%
Safe Bridleways	Strongly agree	47.86%
	Mostly agree	34.29%
	No opinion	16.43%
	Mostly disagree	0.71%
	Strongly disagree	0.71%

Summary of Environment Responses

Fumes	Very concerned	37.93%
	Slightly concerned	42.76%
	Not concerned	19.31%
Light	Very concerned	27.59%
	Slightly concerned	43.45%
	Not concerned	28.97%
Gatwick		
Noise	Very significant	64.58%
	Slightly significant	27.78%
	Not significant	7.64%
Night Flights	Very significant	53.90%
	Slightly significant	29.08%
	Not significant	17.02%
Airport Parking	Very significant	18.84%
	Slightly significant	34.06%
	Not significant	47.10%
Air Pollution	Very significant	55.94%
	Slightly significant	33.57%
	Not significant	10.49%
Light Pollution	Very significant	28.37%
	Slightly significant	44.68%
	Not significant	26.95%
Environment		
Woods	Very important	89.86%
	Slightly important	9.42%
	Not important	0.72%
Animal Pathways	Very important	85.11%
	Slightly important	13.48%
	Not important	1.42%
Rare Species	Very important	87.50%
	Slightly important	10.42%
	Not important	2.08%
Dark Skies	Very important	58.57%
	Slightly important	33.57%
	Not important	7.86%
Wildlife	Very important	86.90%
	Slightly important	12.41%
	Not important	0.69%

Summary S4 Environment

Heritage

Village Conservation Area	Very important	87.41%
	Slightly important	11.19%
	Not important	1.40%

Church	Very important	88.28%
	Slightly important	10.34%
	Not important	1.38%

Ifield Wood Conservation Area	Very important	76.22%
	Slightly important	22.38%
	Not important	1.40%

General view of Environment	Excellent	17.61%
	Good	61.27%
	Average	17.61%
	Poor	3.52%

Summary of Business Responses

Work Place	Work in the area	6	4.62%
	Run a business in the area	9	6.92%
	Work from home in the area	25	19.23%
	Work outside the area	27	20.77%
	Retired or not employed	63	48.46%

Allocation of Business Units	Strongly in favour	22	16.30%
	Moderately in favour	50	37.04%
	Moderately against	19	14.07%
	Strongly against	19	14.07%
	No opinion	25	18.52%

Types of Business	Auction House (Classic Cars)
	Beauty Salon
	Boarding Kennels
	Builder
	Cake Creations
	Commercial Marine Equipment: Ship Spares
	Computer Services/Websites
	Construction / Flooring
	Consultancy
	Customer Service
	Engineering
	Estate Agency
	Farming
	Financial Advice/Investment Management
	Flower
	Gatwick
	Graphic Design & Printing
	Handyman
	Health Insurance Brokerage
	Hotel
	Part-time With O2
	Insurance Broking
	Insurance Consultant
	Internet Site
	IT
	IT Consultant
	Local Government
Machinery & Property	
Marketing	

Summary S5 Business

Motor Trade Management
NHS
Parish Council
Pastoral Support
Photography
Physiotherapy Clinics
Portfolio Career
Print Broker
Pub
Renewable Energy
Retail / Agricultural
Rusper Playgroup
Self Employed
Self Employed Finance And Mortgage Consultant
Smallholding
Social Enterprise
Software And Marketing
Stud Farm / Livery Yard
Teaching
Telecommunications
Web Site Design
Work In The Village Shop

Summary of Infrastructure Responses

Current Infrastructure Status

Broadband	Good	21	14.69%
	Average	55	38.46%
	Poor	60	41.96%
	No Opinion	7	4.90%
Electricity	Good	69	47.92%
	Average	64	44.44%
	Poor	9	6.25%
	No Opinion	2	1.39%
Gas	Good	6	4.76%
	Average	8	6.35%
	Poor	60	47.62%
	No Opinion	52	41.27%
Mobile Phones	Good	24	17.14%
	Average	48	34.29%
	Poor	65	46.43%
	No Opinion	3	2.14%
Pavements	Good	7	4.86%
	Average	59	40.97%
	Poor	76	52.78%
	No Opinion	2	1.39%
Recycling	Good	65	45.77%
	Average	59	41.55%
	Poor	15	10.56%
	No Opinion	3	2.11%
Roads	Good	6	4.17%
	Average	63	43.75%
	Poor	73	50.69%
	No Opinion	2	1.39%
Sewage	Good	34	24.11%
	Average	42	29.79%
	Poor	47	33.33%
	No Opinion	18	12.77%
Street Lights	Good	13	9.70%
	Average	44	32.84%
	Poor	42	31.34%
	No Opinion	35	26.12%

Summary S6_Infrastructure

Water	Good	74	52.48%
	Average	58	41.13%
	Poor	7	4.96%
	No Opinion	2	1.42%

Land for Energy

Biomass	For	29	22.83%
	Against	60	47.24%
	No Opinion	38	29.92%

Geothermal	For	55	42.97%
	Against	34	26.56%
	No Opinion	39	30.47%

Hydro-electric	For	38	30.89%
	Against	40	32.52%
	No Opinion	45	36.59%

Nuclear	For	12	9.16%
	Against	100	76.34%
	No Opinion	19	14.50%

Shale Gas (Fracking)	For	22	16.42%
	Against	93	69.40%
	No Opinion	19	14.18%

Solar	For	94	69.63%
	Against	26	19.26%
	No Opinion	15	11.11%

Wind	For	56	41.48%
	Against	55	40.74%
	No Opinion	24	17.78%

Land for Business

	Votes for	Percentage
Factory units	7	4.86%
Leisure	59	40.97%
Shops and retail	39	27.08%
Food and restaurants	36	25.00%
Light industrial units	43	29.86%
Tourism businesses	35	24.31%
Office units	38	26.39%
None of the above	51	35.42%

Summary S6_Infrastructure

Facilities in Need of Improvement

	Votes for	Percentage
Community centres	24	16.67%
Places of worship	2	1.39%
Sports facilities	33	22.92%
GP surgeries	65	45.14%
Schools	15	10.42%
Open spaces	42	29.17%
None of the above	37	25.69%

Level of Crime

High	1	0.70%
Average	60	42.25%
Low	68	47.89%
No opinion	13	9.15%

Level of Policing

Good	4	2.82%
Average	58	40.85%
Poor	59	41.55%
No opinion	21	14.79%

Summary of Housing Responses

Options for Site Locations

Re-use of brownfield sites	70.63%
In-filling in built up areas	40.56%
Controlled extension of the built up areas	30.07%
None of the above	6.99%
No opinion	5.59%
Use of greenfield sites	2.80%

**Key summary of preferred location for further housing:
No development, just brownfield, or in-filling **62.24%****

Number of houses required

None	36.03%
Up to 50	28.68%
Up to 100	17.65%
Up to 200	13.97%
More than 200	3.68%

**Key summary for house numbers required:
Less than 100 **82.35%****

Housing Types

General preference seems to be toward smaller units, with an emphasis on ecological and low-cost housing.

Affordable Housing

General preference seems to be toward starter homes.

Summary of Community Assets Responses

How satisfied are you with:

Village Hall	Satisfied	88	62.86%
	Needs improvement	33	23.57%
	Not required	3	2.14%
	No Opinion	16	11.43%
Primary School	Satisfied	66	48.18%
	Needs improvement	15	10.95%
	Not required	7	5.11%
	No Opinion	49	35.77%
Village Shop	Satisfied	119	85.00%
	Needs improvement	14	10.00%
	Not required	3	2.14%
	No Opinion	4	2.86%
Village Playground	Satisfied	93	67.39%
	Needs improvement	6	4.35%
	Not required	5	3.62%
	No Opinion	34	24.64%
Rusper Sports Ground	Satisfied	59	42.45%
	Needs improvement	34	24.46%
	Not required	5	3.60%
	No Opinion	41	29.50%
Public Houses	Satisfied	116	84.06%
	Needs improvement	10	7.25%
	Not required	2	1.45%
	No Opinion	10	7.25%
St Mary Magdalene Church	Satisfied	106	75.71%
	Needs improvement	6	4.29%
	Not required	4	2.86%
	No Opinion	24	17.14%
Ifield Golf Course	Satisfied	50	35.97%
	Needs improvement	1	0.72%
	Not required	7	5.04%

Summary S8_Assets

Hotels	No Opinion	81	58.27%
	Satisfied	77	55.80%
	Needs improvement	9	6.52%
	Not required	6	4.35%
	No Opinion	46	33.33%

Quality of Green Spaces

Very satisfied	53	37.86%
Satisfied	74	52.86%
No Opinion	7	5.00%
Dissatisfied	4	2.86%
Very dissatisfied	2	1.43%

Access to Green Spaces

Very satisfied	53	37.86%
Satisfied	71	50.71%
No Opinion	9	6.43%
Dissatisfied	7	5.00%
Very dissatisfied	0	0.00%

Summary of Vision Responses

Village Centre Vision	Votes for	Percentage
Accessible	34	23.61%
Affordable	32	22.22%
Attractive	100	69.44%
Friendly	122	84.72% ***
Mostly unchanged	78	54.17%
Prosperous	32	22.22%
Rural	113	78.47% **
Safe	107	74.31% *
Traditional	84	58.33%
Tranquil	85	59.03%
Vibrant	16	11.11%

Village Centre Vision	Votes for	Percentage
Accessible	42	29.17%
Affordable	37	25.69%
Attractive	97	67.36%
Friendly	102	70.83% *
Mostly unchanged	74	51.39%
Prosperous	33	22.92%

Summary S9_Vision

Rural	108	75.00% ***
Safe	106	73.61% **
Traditional	70	48.61%
Tranquil	78	54.17%
Vibrant	14	9.72%

Has the Area Improved or Got Worse	Votes for	Percentage
The area has got better	10	7.46%
The area has got worse	54	40.30%
The area has not changed	46	34.33%
Uncertain	24	17.91%

Importance for Neighbourhood Plan	High	Medium	Low	None
Housing	31.94%	40.28%	16.67%	4.86%
Green Belt	80.56%	11.81%	1.39%	0.00% ****
Fast Broadband	60.42%	28.47%	2.78%	0.69%

Summary S9_Vision

Trees	75.69%	15.97%	0.69%	0.00%	*****
Rural Character	87.50%	5.56%	1.39%	0.00%	*****
Services for Young	27.08%	51.39%	11.11%	2.08%	
Community	71.53%	22.92%	0.69%	0.00%	
Recreation Land	34.72%	45.14%	13.19%	0.69%	
Green Energy	29.86%	39.58%	18.75%	4.86%	
Footpaths and Bridleways	45.83%	37.50%	8.33%	2.08%	
Schools and Child Care	36.81%	40.97%	11.81%	2.78%	
Medical Care	45.83%	38.19%	7.64%	0.69%	
Air Quality	63.19%	26.39%	4.17%	1.39%	
Road Safety	76.39%	16.67%	1.39%	0.69%	****

Current Provision

	Good	Adequate	Poor	No Comment
Housing	25.69%	55.56%	8.33%	10.42%
Job opportunities	18.06%	35.42%	34.72%	11.81%
Shopping	33.33%	47.92%	14.58%	4.17%
Education	30.56%	54.17%	2.08%	13.19%
Health services	15.97%	52.08%	25.00%	6.94%

Summary S9_Vision

Public transport	2.08%	22.92%	70.14%	4.86%
Leisure facilities	10.42%	61.81%	18.06%	9.72%

Summary S9_Vision

Most Valued Aspects of Life in Rusper

1. Friendly
 2. Basic needs (except transport) supplied
 3. Feeling of history
- 1 Lovely Friendly People
 - 2 Very Accessable to Roads, Shops,Stations ect
 - 3 Ruralness
- 1 Lovely Friendly People
 - 2 Very Accessible to Roads, Stations,Shops, ect
 - 3 Ruralness
- 1 Peace & quite
 - 2 Rural but within reach of towns
 - 3 Beautiful village and surrounding area
- 1 Rural aspect
 - 2 Village identity
 - 3 Access to Horsham and Dorking
- 1 Rural village
 2. Safe
 3. Friendly
- 1 Tranquil 2 Rural 3 Safe
- 1- Being part of a village that is friendly
 - 2- playground
 - 3- Pubs
- 1- Friendship
 - 2- Being still a rural area although very close to London etc
 - 3- Active church community
- 1- people keep themselves to themselves
 - 2- Access to M23 & M25
- 1- Rural nature
 - 2- Open Spaces
- 1- Traditional Village community
 - 2- Convenient location for my needs
 - 3- Feels Rural, not suburban
- 1-Community
 - 2- Pubs
- 1-Rural Area
 - 2- Local Friendships
- 1:Rural village life
 - 2:Good sense of community
 - 3:Local amenities
1. "ruralness"
 2. friendliness
 3. vilage life
1. Access to green open spaces
 2. Safety
1. Beautiful location
 2. Easily accessible to Horsham, Dorking, etc.
 3. Friendly village
1. Being part of a village community
 2. Having excellent village facilities (eg church, shop, pub, village hall,school)
 3. Being in a rural location with many footpaths

Least Valued Aspects of Life in Rusper

1. Aircraft noise
 2. Increased traffic noise
 3. Pot holes in roads
- 1 Aircraft noise
 - 2 Traffic
 - 3 Lack of effective planning enforcement by HDC
- 1- Aircraft noise & Pollution
 - 2- Traffic dangers caused by its speed & recklessness
 - 3- Lack of public transport
- 1- appalling bus service
 - 2- Rat run traffic
 - 3- Slow broadband
- 1- Road Traffic
 - 2- Aircraft noise
 - 3- Lack of spending on roads and footpath maintenance
1. Aircraft noise
 2. Traffic congestion in village
 3. Lorries & Speed on small roads
1. traffic at peak times
1. Nothing
 2. Airport noise
 3. Too many cars going through
1. Aircraft noise
 2. Access to bridleways
 3. Appalling driving on country roads
1. Aircraft noise
 2. Inaccessible footpaths
1. No bus service
 2. High speed cars
1. aircraft noise
 2. rush hour traffic
 3. public transport
- 1- Threat of Urbanisation
 - 2- Threat of Travellers site
1. Always the worry about Gatwick expanding
 2. Heavy traffic on week-day mornings and evenings
 3. That's it!
1. Closeness to airport
 2. Traffic
 3. Parking
1. Heavy traffic
 2. Fly tipping
 3. Poor roads maintenance
1. lack of public transport
 2. Increasing aircraft noise
1. Increased air traffic
 2. Increased road traffic
 3. Associated pollution
1. Lack of public transport
 2. Lack of available healthcare facilities
 3. Aircraft noise and pollution
 4. Roadside litter

Summary S9_Vision

1. Community
2. Access to station
3. Access to countryside

1. community - people
2. rural nature of areas
3. proximity to london gatwick

1. Convenient for Horsham and Crawley towns
2. Semi rural

1. Countryside
2. Not too far to towns
3. Access on foot (via footpaths as no pavement where I live) to shop and pubs

1. Countryside and wildlife variety
2. Oasis of tranquility but 10 mins from station/airport/M-way
3. Quality of life

1. Excellent Village Store
2. Friendly residents.
3. Rural environment - good pubs.

1. Friendly
2. Good community
3. Church

1. Friendly
2. Nice walks
3. Trees

1. Friendly
2. Peaceful
3. Rural

1. Friendly
2. Rural
3. Safe

1. friends
2. social groups

1. Green
2. Quiet
3. Access to transport - airport, trains, shopping, cinema, gyms etc

1. Its mainly peaceful
2. Countryside living with the towns and coast nearby
3. Friendly

1. Its village feel
2. Its rural tranquility
3. Its friendliness

1. Living in a rural area with direct access to fields and woods.
2. Dark night skies and reduced street/house lights.
3. Friendly community.

1. Location
2. Countryside
3. Village centre and lanes

1. Location
2. Retain small village feel
3. Slow rate of changes in development

1. Increasing building
2. Increasing road traffic
3. Increasing noise generally (air and road)

1. Light pollution
2. Aircraft noise and pollution
3. Large traffic on country roads and lanes

1. Increased road traffic
2. Aircraft noise

1. Increase in traffic - rat run
2. Cycling clubs - noisy, arrogant, rude, inconsiderate, dangerous
3. lorries and large vehicles (construction etc)

1. Rat run through traffic
2. Vehicles driving too fast on twisty roads
3. Overhead wires, which in winter especially by arcing against tree branches cause regular breakdowns in electricity supply and telephone calls

1. No public transport
2. Mobile phone signal
3. No pavements on rural roads

1. Pot holes in roads
2. Parking of cars on High Street causing issues
3. Commuters – speeding

1. Speeding traffic
2. Youths in playground late at night
3. No street lighting in high street

1. No GP surgery
2. Ifield Golf Club
3. The Hotel

1. Rat run traffic
2. Aircraft noise

1. proximity of noisy businesses
2. Aircraft noise – Gatwick

1. Speeding vehicles
2. Too much through traffic
3. Aircraft noise

1. The encroachment of building developments into the green belt
2. Unclean footpaths and verges (cut grass)
3. Pot holes on roads

1. The ever increasing plane noise
2. The overly aggressive commuters driving over the speed limit and not allowing me to leave my own village in the mornings.

1. The heavy through traffic
2. The many parked cars
3. The proximity of Gatwick Airport

1. The increased traffic on the "rat run"
2. "Off course" planes

1. The new developments and the volume of houses you are granting
2. The aircraft noise, more the flight path please
3. The cut through traffic

Summary S9_Vision

1. Most pleasant place to live#2. Have enjoyed 86 years residence in 5 houses, 53 years in last house

1. Mostly unchanged
2. Attractive
3. Friendly

1. Not being built up
2. Countryside
3. Wildlife - birds etc

1. Pretty, rural village
2. Convenient for Three bridges / Horsham
3. In the countryside

1. Quiet location
2. Lovely neighbours
3. Great church and post office with community spirit

1. Quietness
2. Friendliness
3. Small population

1. Quite village life
2. Friendly community
3. Access to countryside/footpaths

1. rural
2. countryside
3. quiet

1. Rural
2. Friendly
3. Village feeling

1. Rural
2. Friendly, social neighbours
3. Community spirit

1. Rural
2. Undisturbed
3. Old fashioned

1. Rural area
2. Access to footpaths
3. Village facilities (shop, pubs, church, hotel, playing fields etc)

1. Rural aspects
2. Social availability/friends in the area
3. Privacy

1. Rural feel
2. Community spirit
3. Good location to Horsham / Dorking

1. Rural green space
2. Friendliness
3. Tranquility

1. Rural location
2. Green spaces
3. Traditional village scene

1. Rural location - not built up
2. Access to green spaces - walking the dog etc
3. Proximity to good country pubs and availability of Village shop/post office

1. Rural qualities
2. Convenience to Gatwick, Horsham
3. Tranquility

1. There is poor public transport
2. No mobile phone signal

1. Traffic
2. Aircraft

1. Traffic
2. Housing developments
3. General degrading

1. Traffic
2. New housing estate planned
3. Worry about it getting busier

1. Traffic
2. Road quality
3. Broadband speed

1. Traffic
2. Excess speed of cars

Airport Noise
Traffic
Light Pollution from Airport

1. Traffic increase
2. More shops

1. Traffic noise
2. Congestion by parked cars
3. Amount of cars using it as a cut-through

1. Traffic speed and volume
2. Aircraft noise

1. Traffic speed/congestion on minor roads
2. Aircraft noise
3. Air pollution

1. Traffic through the village
2. Poor pavements
3. Poor transport

1. Traffic through village / lack of parking.
2. Aircraft noise - Gatwick.
3. Lack of public transport.

- 1) Interminable expansion of Gatwick Airport
- 2) Dramatic uncontrolled increase in ancillary services (Car Parking services etc) linked to the Airport, despoiling the countryside.
- 3) Aircraft noise 24 hours a day.

- 1) Increase in the volume of traffic
- 2) Lack of consideration for the speed limits, pedestrians, horse riders, cyclists.
- 3) HGVs using the village as a short-cut.

- 1) Lack of public transport
- 2) Traffic on high street
- 3) Aircraft noise

1. Speeding vehicles
2. Too much through traffic
3. Aircraft noise

1. Speeding vehicles
2. Access to bridleways
3. Aircraft noise

Summary S9_Vision

1. Rural setting	1. Speeding vehicles
2. Green areas	2. Too much through traffic
3. Village community	3. Aircraft noise
1. Rural setting	1. Aircraft noise
2. Location and access to facilities	2. Too much through traffic
	3. Appalling driving on country roads
1. Rural views	1. Aircraft noise
2. Quietness	2. Access to bridleways
3. Low population	3. Appalling driving on country roads
1. Rural village	1. Aircraft noise
2. Easy access to main road network	2. Access to bridleways
	3. Appalling driving on country roads
1. Rural yet accessible to many other areas	3. Lack of public transport. 1. Traffic
2. Friendly	
1. Rural, but accessible (by car) to towns	1. Traffic through village / lack of parking.
	2. Aircraft noise – Gatwick.
	3. Lack of public transport.
1. Rural/village feel	3) No bus service
2. Green spaces	
3. Near to good air, road, rail links	
1. Safe	Aircraft noise
2. Rural	
3. Small community	
1. Semi-rural	Aircraft noise
2. Open space for dog walking	Busy roads increased over the years
3. Safe for my children	Proposed development of fields north of A264, taking rural land, ancient woodland and flood plains
1. Sense of community	Aircraft noise
2. Rural setting	Busy traffic at peak times
3. Peace	
1. Sense of community	Aircraft Noise
2. Amenities-shop, pubs, church, hall etc	Increasing traffic
3. Quaint + peaceful surroundings (apart from aircraft noise)	
1. Still retains the rural atmosphere	Aircraft noise and pollution.
2. Community spirit	Dangerous road traffic and lack of footpaths on roadways.
	Practically nonexistent public transport.
1. The shop	Car Boot sale creates too much traffic on a regular basis
2. The Post Office	should only be once a month
3. Community	
1. Tranquil beautiful environment	Far too much traffic passing through daily enroute to Gatwick
2. Countryside walks - green belt	
3. Convenient to small town Crawley and Horsham	
1. Tranquility	Gatwick
2. Village shop facility	Traffic passing as a short cut
3. Communication	Poor lighting on main road
	One bus an hour would make a difference to the kids
1. Very good community	Impact of aircraft noise
2. Rural area	
3. No "airs and graces" from anyone	
1. Village community	Increase in volume of traffic.
2. Safe	No consideration for the speed limits.
3. Character properties	Lack of consideration to pedestrians, horse riders and cyclists.
	HGVs using the village as a shortcut.

Summary S9_Vision

1) A rural community with access to good shopping /transport facilities nearby.	1: Traffic/lack of calming measures during rush hour
2) Significant wildlife in the area	2: Aircraft noise
3) An interesting History	3: Lack of public transport
1) Location	Light Polution from Airport
2) Ambeance	Airport Noise
3) Community spirit	
1) Rural location	Light Polution from Airport
2) Safe area	Airport Noise
3) Ease of access to road, rail and airport.	
Access Friendly	Lack of public transport
Although we live outside Rusper I use the shop/play area/pubs regularly and enjoy the feeling in the village	Light Polution from Airport Airport Noise
Attractive Friendly Open spaces	Light Polution from Airport Airport Noise
Being rural Views Not being over developed	Light Polution from Airport Airport Noise
Community	No comment
Community Rural character	No public transport
community semi rural surroundings relatively quiet	No public transport
community shop/post office green space	Public Transport No Gas
community spirit facilities Rural	North Horsham Gatwick Airport
Friendly Rural Traditional	not enough shops
Friendly rural village within a short distance to major towns.	Parking and traffic can bee a pain
Friends Shop Communication and help	Paths and roads are poor
friendship and neighbourlness	Planes Cars traffic Lack of public transport
I love my home. It is my dream home. However it is increasingly becoming a rat run cut through for drivers commuting from Horsham to work in Crawley	Public transport
It's a lovely place to be	Traffic
It's rural nature, its wildlife	None
It's rural nature. It's wildlife.	Traffic Aircraft noise Encroaching development
Quiet, unchanged. If I wanted a town I would live in one!	Public transport Parking Traffic
Rural	shops (shopping centres) nightlife Industrial units

Summary S9_Vision

Rural In Ideal Surroundings Views	Rat Run of traffic Aircraft noise
Rural Tranquil Wildlife	Speed of traffic and road being used as a rat run
Rural Village atmosphere	The traffic racing through the village.
Rural Area Safe Community	Traffic rat run Aircraft noise Pressure on green space for development
Rural but within easy reach of Horsham town	traffic traffic speeds little in way of bus service
Rural community	Traffic
Rural location footpath and bridleways Wildlife	Traffic
Rural location Safe area Ease of access to road rail & airport	Traffic
Rural Outlook Access to Foot paths / Doctors Village shop, Community	Traffic
Rural setting	Traffic
Rural village	traffic
Rural, but accessible to shops etc in Crawley	The twice daily "Rat Run" to avoid Crawley
Safe environment for family Generally peaceful Rural & traditional village lifestyle	Traffic congestion
The rural location Beautiful green space / tranquility Pretty houses	Traffic going through the village - rat run/heavy lorries, etc.
The whole area is wonderful, we have lived here for 40 years and love it.	
Tranquil Community Rural	
Village Easy access to transport links Countryside on my doorstep	
Village Life Proximity to Horsham,London, Brighton	